UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/974,594	10/09/2001	Norman Ken Ouchi		7077
41212 NORMAN KEN	7590 06/12/200 N OUCHI	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2011	=	ALAM, UZMA		
SAN JOSE, CA	. 93100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/974,594	OUCHI, NORMAN KEN		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	OZIVIA ALAIVI		2137	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover shee	et with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to of application, applicant must timely file one of the follow application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 5 periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendme Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	ent, affidavi compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma	ailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706	ire later than SIX MONTHS fro or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b .07(f).	om the mailing ) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office I may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70-NOTICE OF APPEAL	f extension and the correspond the shortened statutory period ater than three months after th	ding amount of for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in co	ompliance with 37 CFR 41.3	37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS				e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	on, but prior to the date of fi	iling a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further		ch (see NO	ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE to	,,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in	better form for appeal by m	naterially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling	a a corresponding number o	of finally reje	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(	-	or many roje	otoa olamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		e of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOI -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		0111011 001	mphane, anonamone (i	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be		a separate. I	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		. обрагато,		it carrooming the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is   The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>21-27</u> .				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fil entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces</li> </ol>	to overcome <u>all</u> rejections ι	under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana	ation of the status of the cla	aims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.			condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>	s). (P10/56/08) Paper No(	(8)		
/Ario Etienne/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2157				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 28-40 are still under rejection by the reference Ghoneimy US Patent Publication No. 2004/0078373. For independent claims 28 and 36, Applicant argues that that Ghoneimy does not teach the classification of a file for attatchemnt or download by user during the execution of a workflow route. Ghoneimy teaches, however, that the workflow system has node types and each node has its own attribute or classification of processes. The user of the workflow can acess the attributes of a process and the acitivty performed on that process is attached as a file type. this is taught in paragraph 0072 of Ghoneimy. Hence, Ghoneimy teaches classification of a file for attachement during the execution of a workflow route. Also, in paragraph 0188, Ghoneimy teaches classification of the workflow process and files.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 21-27 contain allowable subject matter, claims 28-40 are still under a 102(e) rejection.